

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
12 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE LAW FIRM**

13 This Document Relates to:

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

14 ALL MATTERS, 3:23-md-03084-CRB

15 And

16
17 *Jane Doe LSA 340 v. Uber Technologies,*
Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-01165-CRB

18 *Katherine Hylin v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,*
19 *et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-01630-CRB

20 *Jane Doe v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
21 No. 3:23-cv-05227-CRB

22 *E.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
3:23-cv-02051-CRB

23 *A.G. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
24 3:23-cv-02071-CRB

25 *Taylor Gavin v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
26 *al.*, No. 3:23-cv-02111-CRB

27 *Cynthia Crawford v. Uber Technologies,*
28 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-02290-CRB

1 *A.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
3:23-cv-03406-CRB

2 *A.H.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
3 No. 3:23-cv-03482-CRB

4 *H.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
5 3:23-cv-03488-CRB

6 *Jane Doe LS 16 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,*
7 *et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-03758-CRB

8 *Jane Doe LS 75 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,*
9 *et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-03805-CRB

10 *Jane Doe LS 134 v. Uber Technologies,*
11 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-03811-CRB

12 *Jane Doe LS 348 v. Uber Technologies,*
13 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-03816-CRB

14 *D.P. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
15 3:23-cv-03846-CRB

16 *Ashley Jones v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
17 *al.*, No. 3:23-cv-03851-CRB

18 *S.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
19 3:23-cv-03852-CRB

20 *Jane Doe F-1 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
21 *al.*, No. 3:23-cv-03945-CRB

22 *Jane Doe LS 90 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,*
23 *et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-03956-CRB

24 *Jane Doe LS 237 v. Uber Technologies,*
25 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-03973-CRB

26 *Jane Doe LS 231 v. Uber Technologies,*
27 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-04367-CRB

28 *K.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
3:24-cv-02840-CRB

Z.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:24-cv-02844-CRB

S.A. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:24-cv-02845-CRB

1 *M.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
2 3:23-cv-06200-CRB
3

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC's (collectively, "Uber") Motion to Substitute Law Firm, all other papers and evidence submitted in support and opposition, the pertinent pleadings and papers on file in this action, and the arguments of counsel, and all other matters presented to the Court, it is hereby ORDERED that the Motion is GRANTED. Accordingly, the substitution of attorneys and firm is hereby approved.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2025

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE